

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
NORTHERN _____ District of **NEW YORK**
UNITED STATES OF AMERICA ORDER AND AMENDED JUDGMENT
 REGARDING MOTION FOR SENTENCE
 REDUCTION PURSUANT TO 18 U.S.C. § 3582(c)(2)

V.

PHIL FINCH

Case Number: 5:04-cr-397-011 (DNH)

USM Number: 12865-052

Lisa A. Peebles, Esq.

Defendant's Attorney

Date of Previous Judgment: November 22, 2005
 (Use Date of Last Amended Judgment if Applicable)

Upon motion of the defendant the Director of the Bureau of Prisons the court under 18 U.S.C. § 3582(c)(2) for a reduction in the term of imprisonment imposed based on a guideline sentencing range that has subsequently been lowered and made retroactive by the United States Sentencing Commission pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 994(u), and having considered such motion,

IT IS ORDERED that the motion is:

DENIED. GRANTED and the defendant's previously imposed sentence of imprisonment (as reflected in the last judgment issued) of 84 months **is reduced to 69 months**.

I. COURT DETERMINATION OF GUIDELINE RANGE (Prior to Any Departures)

Previous Offense Level: 33

Amended Offense Level: 31

Criminal History Category: III

Criminal History Category: III

Previous Guideline Range: 168 to 210 months

Amended Guideline Range: 135 to 168 months

II. SENTENCE RELATIVE TO AMENDED GUIDELINE RANGE

The reduced sentence is within the amended guideline range.

The previous term of imprisonment imposed was less than the guideline range applicable to the defendant at the time of sentencing as a result of a departure or Rule 35 reduction, and the reduced sentence is comparably less than the amended guideline range.

Other (explain):

III. ADDITIONAL COMMENTS

Except as provided above, all provisions of the judgment dated November 22, 2005 shall remain in effect.

IT IS SO ORDERED.

April 25, 2008

Order Date

Effective Date (if different from order date)

David N. Hurd
 District Judge

